Case 2:20-cv-01677-DAD-BAM Document 43 Filed 09/15/20 Page 1 of 6 Shawtina F. Lewis (SBN 259255) shawtina.lewis@nelsonmullins.com **NELSON MULLINS RILEY &** SCARBOROUGH LLP 19191 South Vermont Avenue, Suite 900 Torrance, CA 90502 4 Telephone: 424.221.7400 Facsimile: 424.221.7499 5 Attorneys for Defendants 6 C. R. Bard, Inc. and Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc. 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 TOM LEONHART, Case No.: 1:20-cv-01677-DAD-BAM 11 Plaintiff, JOINT MOTION TO STAY 12 DISCOVERY AND ALL PRETRIAL v. **DEADLINES & ORDER** 13 C. R. BARD INC., and BARD PERIPHERAL VASCULAR, INC., 14 Defendants. 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

JOINT MOTION TO STAY DISCOVERY AND ALL PRETRIAL DEADLINES & ORDER

Case 2:20-cv-01677-DAD-BAM Document 43 Filed 09/15/20 Page 2 of 6

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(c) and (d), Plaintiff in the above-titled action and Defendants C. R. Bard, Inc. and Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc. (collectively, "Bard") (Plaintiff and Bard are collectively referred to herein as "the Parties"), respectfully request that this Court temporarily stay discovery and all pretrial deadlines and continue the initial Scheduling Conference in this case for 90 days after entry of the [Proposed] Order while the Parties pursue settlement discussions. In support thereof, the Parties state as follows:

- 1. This case was originally filed in the State Court of Dallas County, Texas, by a Texas resident serving as lead plaintiff, and joined multiple individual plaintiffs, including the instant plaintiff. The case was subsequently removed by Bard to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division.
- 2. On August 20, 2020, the Court issued an Order granting the lead plaintiff's Unopposed Motion to Sever and Transfer Venue of Out-of-State Plaintiff's Cases, and the case was transferred to this District and assigned to this Court. [Doc. 27].
- 3. Since before the transfer of the instant case from the Northern District of Texas, Dallas Division, to this District, the Parties have been engaging in serious settlement discussions. Accordingly, the Parties jointly move this Court for an order staying discovery and pretrial deadlines and continuing the initial Scheduling Conference in this case for 90 days after entry of the [Proposed] Order to allow the Parties to continue to engage in settlement discussions. This will further facilitate settlement discussions and prevent unnecessary expenditures of the parties and judicial resources.
- 4. A district court has broad discretion over pretrial discovery rulings. See, e.g., Crawford-El v. Britton, 523 U.S. 574, 598 (1998); accord Thermal Design, Inc. v. Am. Soc'y of Heating, Refrigerating & Air-Conditioning Engineers, Inc., 755 F.3d 832, 837 (7th Cir. 2014); Burns v. EGS Fin. Care, Inc., No. 4:15-CV-06173-DGK, 2016 WL 7535365 at *1 (W.D. Mo. Apr. 12, 2016); see also Cook v. Kartridg Pak Co., 840 F.2d 602, 604 (8th Cir. 1988) ("A district court must be free to use and control pretrial procedure in furtherance of the orderly administration of justice."); see also CMAX, Inc. v. Hall, 300 F.2d 265, 268 (9th Cir. 1962)

//

Case 2:20-cv-01677-DAD-BAM Document 43 Filed 09/15/20 Page 3 of 6

(district courts possess "inherent power to control the disposition of the causes on its docket in a manner which will promote economy of time and effort for itself, for counsel, and for litigants").

- 5. Under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26(c) and 26(d), a court may limit the scope of discovery or control its sequence. *See Britton*, 523 U.S. at 598. Although settlement negotiations do not automatically excuse a party from its discovery obligations, the parties can seek a stay prior to the cutoff date. *See Sofo v. Pan-American Life Ins. Co.*, 13 F.3d 239, 242 (7th Cir. 1994); *Wichita Falls Office Assocs. V. Banc One Corp.*, 978 F.2d 915, 918 (5th Cir. 1993) (finding that a "trial judge's decision to curtail discovery is granted great deference," and noting that the discovery had been pushed back a number of times because of pending settlement negotiations).
- 6. The Parties agree that the relief sought herein is necessary to handle the case in the most economical fashion, yet allow sufficient time to schedule and complete discovery if necessary, consistent with the scheduling obligations of counsel. The relief sought in this Motion is not being requested for delay, but so that justice may be done.

WHEREFORE, The Parties jointly request that discovery and all pretrial deadlines be stayed and that the initial Scheduling Conference be continued for 90 days after entry of the [Proposed] Order to allow the Parties to conduct ongoing settlement negotiations.

[Signatures on the following page]

Case 2:20-cv-01677-DAD-BAM Document 43 Filed 09/15/20 Page 4 of 6 1 DATED: September 15, 2020 Respectfully submitted, 2 NELSON MULLINS RILEY & SCARBOROUGH LLP 3 4 /s/ Shawtina F. Lewis Shawtina F. Lewis (SBN $\overline{259255}$) 5 19191 South Vermont Avenue, Suite 900 Torrance, CA 90502 6 Telephone: 424.221.7400 Facsimile: 424.221.7499 7 shawtina.lewis@nelsonmullins.com Attorney for Defendants 8 C. R. Bard, Inc. and Bard Peripheral Vascular, Inc. 9 DATED: September 15, 2020 Respectfully submitted, 10 FEARS NACHAWATI LAW FIRM 11 12 /s/ Arati Furness (as authorized on 9/8/2020) Arati Furness, CA Bar No. 225435 13 (admitted in EDCA) Steven S. Schulte 14 Texas Bar No. 24051306 15 Eric M. Przybysz Darren McDowell 16 5473 Blair Road Dallas, Texas 75231 17 T: (214) 890-0711/F: (214) 890-0712 afurness@fnlawfirm.com 18 ericp@fnlawfirm.com 19 schulte@fnlawfirm.com dmcdowell@fnlawfirm.com 20 21 Attorneys for Plaintiff 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Case 2:20-cv-01677-DAD-BAM Document 43 Filed 09/15/20 Page 5 of 6

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on September 15, 2020, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system and I served a copy of the foregoing pleading on all counsel for all parties, via the CM/ECF system and/or mailing same by United States Mail, properly addressed, and first class postage prepaid, to all counsel of record in this matter.

By: /s/ Shawtina F. Lewis
Shawtina F. Lewis

3

4

5 6

7 8

9 10

11

12 13

> 14 15

> > 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

26

25

27 28

Having considered the parties' stipulation, the Court hereby GRANTS the parties' request. The Initial Scheduling Conference remains set for **December 9, 2020, at 9:30 AM in** Courtroom 8 (BAM) before Magistrate Judge Barbara A. McAuliffe. All discovery and pretrial deadlines in this action are hereby stayed until the Initial Scheduling Conference. The parties shall file a Joint Scheduling Report in full compliance with the requirements set forth in the Order Setting Mandatory Scheduling Conference and the Court's September 10, 2020 Minute Order at least one (1) full week prior to the Scheduling Conference. (See Doc. Nos. 42, 43.) The parties may appear at the conference by telephone with each party using the following dial-in number and access code: dial-in number 1-877-411-9748; access code 3219139. If the parties file a notice of settlement prior to the conference, then the conference will be vacated. However, if the parties are unable to reach a settlement, then the conference will proceed.

ORDER

Additionally, the Court notes that the parties filed their stipulation in *Leonhart v. C R* Bard, Inc., et al., Case No. 2:20-cv-01677-DAD-BAM. However, that matter is closed and, pursuant to the Court's Order Relating and Reassigning Cases dated September 4, 2020, the new case number is 1:20-cy-01677-DAD-BAM. Accordingly, the parties are directed to file all future filings for this case in Case No. 1:20-cv-01677-DAD-BAM.

The Clerk of Court is directed to file a copy of the parties' Joint Motion to Stay Discovery and All Pretrial Deadlines (Case No. 2:20-cv-01677-DAD-BAM, Doc. No. 42) in Leonhart v. C R Bard, Inc., et al., Case No. 1:20-cv-01677-DAD-BAM. The Clerk of Court is further directed to file this order in Leonhart v. C R Bard, Inc., et al., Case No. 2:20-cv-01677-DAD-BAM, and in Leonhart v. C R Bard, Inc., et al., Case No. 1:20-cv-01677-DAD-BAM. IT IS SO ORDERED.

/s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE Dated: **September 15, 2020**